## ENVIRONMENT SCRUTINY PANEL

## INTERIM REPORT ON THE FUTURE ALTERNATIVE DELIVERY MODEL FOR ENVIRONMENT, PROPERTY AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (EPCS) – ACTION PLAN

20 January 2015

SCRUTINY RECOMMENDATION	PROPOSED ACTION	BY WHOM	BUDGET COST	TIMESCALE
a) That a non-Executive Member is appointed to each options appraisal panel to provide a community perspective when the scoring of the potential options for future ADM's are undertaken.	Lead project officers will be instructed to include a non-Executive Member on future ADM scoring panels	Paul Stephens	Nil	January 2015
<ul> <li>b) That the guidance applied in the options appraisal scoring system be revisited with a view to narrowing the range of scores that can be awarded against each key criterion to ensure greater consistency.</li> </ul>	It is proposed to leave the range of scores as they are, but to provide future appraisal panels with more detailed guidance on scoring the options. This will ensure that options are able to fully demonstrate their potential whilst more concise guidance will ensure the consistency in scoring that the panel have requested	Paul Stephens	Nil	January 2015

<ul> <li>c) That irrespective of the alternative delivery model adopted by the Council for EPCS there be sufficient flexibility to respond to:-</li> <li>The changing requirements of the Council,</li> </ul>	associated sign off process will ensure that the future service delivery model for EPCS will be sufficiently flexible to	Tom Punton	Nil	Will align with the Executive decision to proceed with the ADM following consideration of the business case
<ul> <li>Political input by the appropriate Executive Member</li> <li>Scope for scrutiny and</li> <li>The facility for responding to Member queries such as those currently provided by the Members One Stop Shop system.</li> </ul>	the issues of Executive member political input, scrutiny involvement,	Tom Punton	Nil	